

CONNECTEDNESS LIKE PROPERTIES ON THE HYPERSPACE OF CONVERGENT SEQUENCES

S. GARCÍA-FERREIRA AND R. ROJAS-HERNÁNDEZ

ABSTRACT. This paper is a continuation of the work done in [3] and [6]. We deal with the Vietoris hyperspace of all nontrivial convergent sequences $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ of a space X . We answer some questions in [3] and generalize several results in [6]. We prove that: The connectedness of X implies the connectedness of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$; the local connectedness of X is equivalent to the local connectedness of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$; and the path-wise connectedness of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ implies the path-wise connectedness of X . We also show that the space of nontrivial convergent sequences on the Warsaw circle has $\mathfrak{c}$ -many path-wise connected components, and provide a dendroid with the same property.

1. INTRODUCTION

The letters $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ will denote the real numbers, natural numbers and the integers, respectively. In particular, $\mathbb{N}^+ = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. The Greek letter ω stands for the first infinite cardinal number and $\mathfrak{c}$ will denote the cardinality of the continuum.

For a topological space X , $\mathcal{CL}(X)$ will denote the set of all nonempty closed subsets of X . For a nonempty family $\mathcal{U}$ of subsets of X let

$$\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle = \{F \in \mathcal{CL}(X) : F \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{U} \text{ and } F \cap U \neq \emptyset\}.$$

If $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$, in some cases, $\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$ will be denoted by $\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$. A base for the *Vietoris topology* on $\mathcal{CL}(X)$ is the family of all sets of the form $\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$, where $\mathcal{U}$ runs over all nonempty finite families of nonempty open subsets of X . In the sequel, any subset $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{CL}(X)$ will carry the relative Vietoris topology as a subspace of $\mathcal{CL}(X)$. Given $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{CL}(X)$ and a nonempty family $\mathcal{U}$ of subsets of X we let $\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle \cap \mathcal{D}$. For simplicity, if there is no possibility of confusion we simply write $\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$ instead of $\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}$. All topological notions whose definition is not included here should be understood as in [2].

Some of the most studied hyperspaces on a space X have been

$$\mathcal{K}(X) = \{K \in \mathcal{CL}(X) : K \text{ is compact}\} \text{ and } \mathcal{F}(X) = \{F \in \mathcal{CL}(X) : F \text{ is finite}\},$$

see for instance the survey paper [5]. In the article [8], the authors considered the hyperspace consisting of all finite subsets together with all the Cauchy sequences without limit point of a metric space. In a different context, the authors of the paper [9] consider the set $\mathcal{F}_S(X)$ of all convergent sequences of a space X , and study the existence of a metric d on the set X such that d metrizes all subspaces of X which belong to $\mathcal{F}_S(X)$, that is, the restriction of d to A generates the subspace topology on A for every $A \in \mathcal{F}_S(X)$ (these kind of problems have been analyzed in [1]).

Another hyperspace that has been recently introduced in [3] and studied in [6] is the hyperspace of nontrivial convergent sequences which is defined as follows:

Given a space X , a *nontrivial convergent sequence* of X is a subset $S \subseteq X$ such that $|S| = \omega$, S has a unique non isolated point x_S and $|S \setminus U| < \omega$ for each neighborhood U

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54A20, 54B20, 54D05.

Key words and phrases. hyperspace, nontrivial convergent sequence, path-wise connectedness, connectedness .

Research of the first-named author was supported by CONACYT grant no. 176202 and PAPIIT grant no. IN-101911.

of x_S . With this notion we define

$$\mathcal{S}_c(X) = \{S \in \mathcal{CL}(X) : S \text{ is a nontrivial convergent sequence}\}.$$

It was pointed out in [6] that the family of all subsets of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ of the form $\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$, where $\mathcal{U}$ is a finite family of pairwise disjoint subsets of X , is a base for the Vietoris topology on $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$. We will refer to this family as the *canonical basis* of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ and its elements will be name *canonical open sets*.

Along this paper we will deal with hyperspaces of non trivial convergent sequences. By this reason, it is natural to consider only Fréchet-Urysohn spaces that are infinite and non-discrete.

A fundamental task in the study of the hyperspace $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is to determine its topological relationship with the base space X and vise versa. The connection between the connectedness in X and $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ was studied in [3], where it was proved that $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is connected provided that X is a path-wise connected space and the authors formulate the question ([3, Q. 2.16]) whether or not the connectedness of X implies the connectedness of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$. Very recently, it was proved in [6] that if a connected space X has finitely many path components or has a dense path component, then $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is connected. It is important to mention that, as it was proved in [6], the connectedness of X also implies the connectedness of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$. In contrast with the case of the connectedness, an example of a path-wise connected space such that $\mathcal{S}_c(x)$ is not path-wise connected was provided in [3]. In fact, the authors showed that the hyperspace non trivial convergent sequences over the Warsaw circle is not path-wise connected.

We shall show that $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is connected whenever X is connected, this answers Question 2.16 from [3]. Hence, we conclude that $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ connected iff X connected. To end the second section we prove that $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is locally connected iff X is locally connected. The path-wise connectedness is considered in the third section addressing to Question 2.14 from [3] which asked if $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is path-wise connectedness, must X be path-wise connectedness? A remarkable related result was obtained in [6]: for every metric space X , if $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ nonempty and path-wise connected, then so is X . We prove that, in general, the path-wise connectedness of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ implies the path-wise connectedness of X . Answering a question from [3, Q. 2.9], an example of a dendroid such that its hyperspace non trivial convergent sequences is not path-wise connected was given in [6]. We prove that this example and the one given in [3, Ex. 2.8] both have $\mathfrak{c}$ -many path-wise connected components.

2. CONNECTEDNESS AND LOCAL CONNECTEDNESS IN $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$

To begin this section we shall prove that $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is connected iff X is connected. But first, we establish a basic lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *If $\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$ is a nonempty canonical open set of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$, then $\bigcup \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle = \bigcup \mathcal{U}$. Moreover, if O is a nonempty open subset in $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$, then $\bigcup O$ is open in X .*

Proof. Let $\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$ be a nonempty canonical open set of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$. Fix $S \in \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$. Observe that $x \in S_x = S \cup \{x\} \in \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$ for all $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{U}$. It then follows that $\bigcup \mathcal{U} \subseteq \bigcup \{S_x : x \in \bigcup \mathcal{U}\} \subseteq \bigcup \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{U}$. So, $\bigcup \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle = \bigcup \mathcal{U}$.

Now assume that O is a nonempty open subset of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$. Pick $x \in \bigcup O$ and choose $S \in O$ so that $x \in S$ and a canonical open set $\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ satisfying $S \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle \subseteq O$. Then, we have that $x \in S \subseteq \bigcup \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle \subseteq \bigcup O$. By the first part, we conclude that $\bigcup \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ is open. Therefore, the set $\bigcup O$ is open. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. *If X is connected, then $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is connected.*

Proof. For each $S \in \mathcal{S}_c(X)$ and $x \in X$, we define

- $\mathcal{G}(S) = \{S \cup F : F \in \mathcal{F}(X)\},$
- $\mathcal{H}(S) = \{T \in \mathcal{S}_c(X) : S \subseteq T\},$

- $\mathcal{M}(S) = \{T \in \mathcal{S}_c(X) : x_S = x_T\}$, and
- $\mathcal{N}(x) = \{S \in \mathcal{S}_c(X) : x \in S\}$.

We list some basic properties of these sets:

- (1) If $T \in \mathcal{M}(S)$, then $x_S = x_T$ and $\mathcal{H}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(S)$,
- (2) $\mathcal{M}(S)$ is dense in $\mathcal{N}(x_S)$, and
- (3) $\mathcal{G}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{H}(S) \subseteq \text{cl}(\mathcal{G}(S))$.

Claim. If $S \in \mathcal{S}_c(X)$ and $x \in X$, then the sets $\mathcal{G}(S)$, $\mathcal{H}(S)$, $\mathcal{M}(S)$ and $\mathcal{N}(x)$ are connected.

Proof of the Claim. Since X is connected we know, by Theorem 4.10 of [7], that the hyperspace $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is connected. Let $e : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \{S\} \times \mathcal{F}(X)$ be the map given by $g(F) = (S, F)$ and let $u : \{S\} \times \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_c(X)$ be the map defined by $u(S, F) = S \cup F$. It is not hard to verify that e is an embedding and u is a continuous map. Hence, the composition $u \circ e$ is continuous. In addition, $u \circ e(\mathcal{F}(X)) = \mathcal{G}(S)$. Thus the connectedness of $\mathcal{G}(S)$ is obtained via the map $u \circ e$ from the connectedness of $\mathcal{F}(X)$. Hence and by clause (3) we obtain that $\mathcal{H}(S)$ is connected. Next we shall verify that $\mathcal{M}(S)$ is connected.

Assume on the contrary that there exist two nonempty subsets O_1 and O_2 of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ which disconnect $\mathcal{M}(S)$. For each $i \in \{1, 2\}$ choose $S_i \in O_i$ and a canonical open set $\langle \mathcal{U}_i \rangle$ of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ such that $S_i \in \langle \mathcal{U}_i \rangle \subseteq O_i$. Since $\mathcal{H}(S_i)$ is connected, we must have $\mathcal{H}(S_i) \subseteq O_i$. It follows that $S_1 \cup S_2 \subseteq O_1 \cap O_2$, a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{M}(S)$ is connected. Finally we will prove that if $x \in X$, then $\mathcal{N}(x)$ is connected. Choose $S \in \mathcal{S}_c(X)$ converging to x . By clause (2) and the connectedness of $\mathcal{M}(S)$, we can conclude that $\mathcal{N}(x)$ is connected. This ends the proof of the Claim.

Now we will prove that the space $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is connected. Assume that $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is not connected. Then we can find two nonempty open sets O_1 and O_2 in $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ which disconnect $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$. For every $S \in O_i$ choose a canonical open set $\langle \mathcal{U}_S \rangle$ of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ such that $S \in \langle \mathcal{U}_S \rangle \subseteq O_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Let $W_i = \bigcup \{ \bigcup \mathcal{U}_S : S \in O_i \}$, for $i = 1, 2$. According to Lemma 2.1 the sets W_1 and W_2 are open. In addition, $X = W_1 \cup W_2$ because of X is crowded. Since the space X is connected, we must have $W_1 \cap W_2 \neq \emptyset$. Fix $x \in W_1 \cap W_2$, then $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{U}_{S_1} \cap \bigcup \mathcal{U}_{S_2}$ for some $S_1 \in O_1$ and $S_2 \in O_2$. Choose $T_1 = S_1 \cup \{x\} \in \langle \mathcal{U}_{S_1} \rangle \subseteq O_1$ and $T_2 = S_2 \cup \{x\} \in \langle \mathcal{U}_{S_2} \rangle \subseteq O_2$. Then $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ and $\mathcal{N}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_c(X) \subseteq O_1 \cup O_2$, the open sets O_1 and O_2 disconnect $\mathcal{N}(x)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is connected. $\square$

It was proved in [6, Theorem 5.10] that X must be connected whenever $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is connected. According to that result and Theorem 2.2, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *The space $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ connected iff X connected.*

Proposition 2.4. *If X is locally connected, then $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is locally connected.*

Proof. Pick $S \in \mathcal{S}_c(X)$ and a neighborhood O of S in $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$. Choose a canonical open set $\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$ of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ such that $S \in \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle \subseteq O$. Since X is locally connected we can find a canonical open set $\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ such that $S \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$ and each member of $\mathcal{V}$ is connected. We will prove that $\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle_{\mathcal{F}(X)}$ is connected. Since V is connected we know that $\mathcal{F}(V)$ is connected, for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Assume that $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, \dots, V_n\}$. Then $\prod \{\mathcal{F}(V_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is connected. Let $u : \prod \{\mathcal{F}(V_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$ the map given by $u(F_1, \dots, F_n) = F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_n$ for each $(F_1, \dots, F_n) \in \prod \{\mathcal{F}(V_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$. It is easy to verify that u is continuous. Note that $u(\prod \{\mathcal{F}(V_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}) = \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle_{\mathcal{F}(X)}$. Thus $\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle_{\mathcal{F}(X)}$ is connected. Now choose $V_S \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $x_S \in V_S$. According to Theorem 2.2 the set $\mathcal{S}_c(V_S)$ is connected. It follows that $\mathcal{D} = \{T \cup F : T \in \mathcal{S}_c(V_S) \text{ and } F \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle_{\mathcal{F}(X)}\}$, being a continuous image of $\mathcal{S}_c(V_S) \times (\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle_{\mathcal{F}(X)})$, is connected. On the other hand, it is

easy to verify that $\mathcal{D}$ is dense in $\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$. Therefore $\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ is a connected neighborhood of S contained in O . $\square$

Theorem 2.5. *If $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is locally connected, then X is locally connected.*

Proof. Fix $x \in X$. Assume that X is not locally connected at x . Fix an open neighborhood U of x in X such that for any open set V in X with $x \in V \subseteq U$ the set V is not connected. Note that x is not an isolated point of X and pick $S \in \mathcal{S}_c(X)$ such that $x = x_S$ and $S \subseteq U$. Since $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is locally connected, we can find a connected open neighborhood O of S such that $S \in O \subseteq \langle U \rangle$. Let $N = \bigcup O$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that N is open in X . Since $x \in N \subseteq U$, the set N is not connected. Choose disjoint nonempty open subsets V_0 and W_0 of X such that $N = V_0 \cup W_0$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ construct disjoint nonempty open sets V_n and W_n with $x \in V_n \subseteq U$ recursively as follows. If V_n has been constructed, since $x \in V_n \subseteq U$ we can find two disjoint nonempty open sets V_{n+1} and W_{n+1} such that $V_n = V_{n+1} \cup W_{n+1}$ and $x \in V_{n+1}$. Note that $N \setminus W_k = V_k \cup \bigcup \{W_n : n \in k\}$ is open, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim 1. The set W_n is discrete for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof of the Claim. Assume on the contrary that W_m is discrete for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let y be a non isolated point in W_m . Choose a sequence $S_0 \in O$ containing y and a canonical open set $\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ such that $S_0 \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle \subseteq O$. For each $V \in \mathcal{V}$ choose $y_V \in V$. Since y is not isolated we may pick $S_y \in \mathcal{S}_c(X)$ such that $y \in S_y \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{V}$ and S_y converges to y . Let $S_1 = S_y \cup \{x_V : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$. Then $y \in S_1 \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle \subseteq O$ and S_1 converges to y . Since the family $\{W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is disjoint and $y \in W_m$, we can fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S_1 \cap W_k = \emptyset$. Pick $z \in W_k$. Since $W_k \subseteq N$ we may choose $T \in O$ such that $z \in T$. Then $T \cap W_k \neq \emptyset$. Note that the sets $O_1 = \langle N \setminus W_k \rangle$ and $O_2 = \langle W_k, N \rangle$ are open in $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$. Moreover, $O \subseteq O_1 \cup O_2$, $O_1 \cap O_2 = \emptyset$, $S_1 \in O \cap O_1$ and $T \in O \cap O_2$. Thus O is disconnected, a contradiction. In this way, we have proved the Claim.

Claim 2. If $y \in N$ and y is isolated in X , then $y \in T$ for each $T \in O$.

Proof of the Claim. Fix $T \in O$. Suppose that $y \notin T$. Since $y \in N$, we may choose a sequence $S_y \in O$ containing y . Consider the open sets $O_1 = \langle \{y\}, N \rangle$ and $O_2 = \langle N \setminus \{y\} \rangle$. Note that $O \subseteq O_1 \cup O_2$, $O_1 \cap O_2 = \emptyset$, $S_y \in O \cap O_1$ and $T \in O \cap O_2$. It follows that O is disconnected, a contradiction. Thus, $y \in T$ for each $T \in O$.

Let $W = \bigcup \{W_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Note that W is an infinite subset of N and $x \notin W$. By Claim 1 the open set W_n is discrete for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and as a consequence, each point of W is isolated in X . It follows from Claim 2 that $W \subseteq T$ for each $T \in O$. In particular, $W \subseteq S$. Choose a canonical open set $\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ such that $S \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle \subseteq O$. Since $\mathcal{V}$ is finite and W is infinite, we can choose $V_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $W \cap V_0$ is infinite. Pick $y \in W \cap V_0$ and let $T = S \setminus \{y\}$. Then $T \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle \subseteq O$. However T does not contain W , contradicting the Claim 2. Thus X is locally connected at the point x . Since x is arbitrary, the space X is locally connected. $\square$

Corollary 2.6. *The space $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is locally connected iff X is locally connected.*

It would be interesting to explore other connectedness like properties in the spirit of Corollaries 2.3 and 2.6.

3. PATH-WISE CONNECTEDNESS ON $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$

In what follows, we shall prove that the path-wise connectedness of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ implies the pathwise connectedness of X . We also deal with the number of path-wise connected components of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$. The following Lemma was proved in [6] and will be a very important tool to establish the main result of the section.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a space. If A is a compact connected subset of $\mathcal{K}(X)$ and $S \in A$, then each component of $\bigcup A$ intersects S .*

The next theorem improves Theorem 4.11 from [6].

Let I denote the unit interval $[0, 1]$ of the real numbers $\mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 3.2. *If $\alpha : I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_c(X)$ and $p \in \alpha(0)$, then there exists a continuous map $f : I \rightarrow X$ such that $f(0) = p$ and $f(t) \in \alpha(t)$ for all $t \in I$.*

Proof. Let $K = \bigcup \alpha(I) \subseteq X$. The set K is compact because of [7, Theorem 2.5]. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in 2^n$, we let $I_{n,i} = [i/2^n, (i+1)/2^n]$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $i \in 2^n$, define $p_{n,i}$ and $K_{n,i}$ recursively as follows. Let $p_{n,0} = p$ and $K_{n,0}$ be the connected component of $\bigcup \alpha(I_{n,0})$ which contains $p_{n,0}$. For $0 < i < 2^n$, by Lemma 3.1, we may choose $p_{n,i} \in K_{n,i-1} \cap \alpha(i/2^n)$. Let $K_{n,i}$ be a connected component of $\bigcup \alpha(I_{n,i})$ which contains $p_{n,i}$. We again apply [7, Theorem 2.5] to see that $K_{n,i}$ is compact for each $i \in 2^n$. Now, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the compact and connected subspace $G_n = \bigcup \{I_{n,i} \times K_{n,i} : i \in 2^n\}$ of $I \times K$. Since $\mathcal{CL}(I \times K)$ is compact, there exists a complete accumulation point G of $\{G_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in $\mathcal{CL}(I \times K)$. By using the next three claims, we will prove that G is the graph of the promised map f .

Claim 1. $G \cap (\{t\} \times K) \subseteq \{t\} \times \alpha(t)$ for each $t \in I$.

Proof of the Claim. By contradiction, assume that there exists $t \in I$ and a point $x \in K \setminus \alpha(t)$ such that $(t, x) \in G$. Choose disjoint open neighborhoods U_x and U_t of x and $\alpha(t)$, respectively. By the continuity of α , it is possible to find an open neighborhood V of t in I and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \geq N$, $i \in 2^n$ and $V \cap I_{n,i} \neq \emptyset$. Then we have that $\alpha(I_{n,i}) \subseteq \langle U_t \rangle$. Notice that $O = \langle V \times U_x, I \times K \rangle$ is an open neighborhood of G in $\mathcal{CL}(I \times K)$. Fix $n \geq N$. We will verify that $G_n \not\subseteq O$. Choose $i \in 2^n$ and consider the following two cases: If $V \cap I_{n,i} = \emptyset$, then it is clear that $(I_{n,i} \times K_{n,i}) \cap (V \times U_x) = \emptyset$. If $V \cap I_{n,i} \neq \emptyset$, then $(\bigcup \alpha(I_{n,i})) \cap U_x \subseteq U_t \cap U_x = \emptyset$. In particular, $K_{n,i} \cap U_x = \emptyset$ and as a consequence $(I_{n,i} \times K_{n,i}) \cap (V \times U_x) = \emptyset$. We have proved that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the intersection $G_n \cap (V \times U_x)$ is empty. Thus, we have that O is a neighborhood of G which intersect at most the first N elements of $\{G_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, which is impossible. Therefore, $G \cap (\{t\} \times K) \subseteq \alpha(t)$.

Claim 2. $\pi_I(G) = I^1$ and $(0, p) \in G$.

Proof of the Claim. First we will prove that $\pi_I(G) = I$. Assume on the contrary that $\pi_I(G) \neq I$ and choose a proper open subset U of I such that $\pi_I(G) \subseteq U$. Since $G \in \langle U \times K \rangle$, we can find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G_n \in \langle U \times K \rangle$. Then, $\pi_I(G_n) \subseteq U$, but this contradicts the fact $\pi_I(G_n) = I$. Thus, we must have that $\pi_I(G) = I$.

Now we will verify that $(0, p) \in G$. Suppose that $(0, p) \notin G$ and choose $U = (I \times K) \setminus \{(0, p)\}$. Observe that $G \in \langle U \rangle$. By construction, we know that $(0, p) \in I_{n,0} \times K_{n,0} \subseteq G_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$; that is, $G_n \notin \langle U \rangle$. As a consequence $\langle U \rangle \cap \{G_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \emptyset$, a contradiction. This shows that $(0, p) \in G$.

Claim 3. G is the graph of a map.

Proof of the Claim. Let $t \in I$ and assume that $(t, x_1), (t, x_2) \in G$. We must prove that $x_1 = x_2$. Assume that $x_1 \neq x_2$. In virtue of the first claim, we must have that $x_1, x_2 \in \alpha(t)$. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, U_2\}$ be a disjoint open cover of $\alpha(t)$ such that $x_1 \in U_1$ and $x_2 \in U_2$. By the continuity of α , we can find an open interval V in I containing t and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \geq N$, $i \in 2^n$ and $V \cap I_{n,i} \neq \emptyset$, then $\alpha(I_{n,i}) \subseteq \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$. Note that $G \in O := \langle V \times U_1, V \times U_2, I \times K \rangle$. We will verify that $G_n \not\subseteq O$ whenever $n \geq N$. Fix $n \geq N$. Now, consider the sets $G'_n = \{I_{n,i} \times K_{n,i} : i \in 2^n \text{ and } I_{n,i} \cap V = \emptyset\}$ and $G''_n = \{I_{n,i} \times K_{n,i} : i \in 2^n \text{ and } I_{n,i} \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$. In one hand, it is clear that $G'_n \cap (V \times U_i) = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2$. On the other hand, G''_n is connected by the construction. Moreover, by the election of V , $G''_n \subseteq (I \times U_1) \cup (I \times U_2)$. So there exists $i_0 \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $G''_n \cap (V \times U_{i_0}) = \emptyset$. It follows that $G_n \cap (V \times U_{i_0}) = (G'_n \cap (V \times U_{i_0})) \cup (G''_n \cap (V \times U_{i_0})) = \emptyset$.

¹ Here $\pi_I : I \times K \rightarrow I$ is the projection onto the first factor.

This shows that $G_n \notin O$. It follows that O is a neighborhood of G which intersect at most the first N elements of $\{G_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, a contradiction. Thus $x_1 = x_2$.

Our required function is $f = G$. It follows from Claims 1, 2 and 3 that f is a function from $[0, 1]$ into K such that $f(0) = p$ and $f(t) \in \alpha(t)$ for each $t \in I$. We know that the graph G of f is closed and K is compact, so we may apply the Closed Graph Theorem (see [2, Ex. 3.1.D (a)]) to see that f is continuous. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *If $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is path-wise connected, then X is path-wise connected.*

Proof. Fix two distinct points $p, q \in X$. Since X is connected, see Corollary 2.3, the space X cannot have isolated points. Choose disjoint sequences $S_p, S_q \in \mathcal{S}_c(X)$ converging to p and q , respectively. By Theorem 3.2, there exists a path $f_1 : [0, 1/3] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_c(X)$ such that $f_1(0) = p$ and $r := f_1(1/3) \in S_q$. Choose a nontrivial sequence S_r in $\bigcup f_1([0, 1/3))$ converging to r . We again apply Theorem 3.2 to find a path $f_3 : [2/3, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_c(X)$ such that $f_3(1) = q$ and $s := f_3(2/3) \in S_r$. Now note that there exists a path $f_2 : [1/3, 2/3] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_c(X)$ so that $f_2(1/3) = r$ and $f_2(2/3) = s$. Then, $f = f_1 \cup f_2 \cup f_3$ is a path that connects p with q . $\square$

The above result is a very interesting relation between $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ and X . However, we do not have any positive result in the opposite direction.

Problem 3.4. *Give conditions on X under which $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ must be path-wise connected.*

It is known that there are path-wise connected spaces X for which the space $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is not connected [3, Ex. 2.8]. However, we do not know so much about the number of path-wise connected components of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$. Next we shall give two examples of spaces X for which their hyperspace $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ has $\mathfrak{c}$ -many path-wise connected components.

We need the next lemma which was essentially proved in [3, Lemma 2.7].

Lemma 3.5. *Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_c(X)$ be a continuous function. Suppose C is a nonempty closed subset of $\bigcup f([0, 1])$. If $s = \sup\{t \in [0, 1] : f(t) \cap C \neq \emptyset\}$, then $f(s) \cap C \neq \emptyset$.*

The first example is a dendroid that also was considered in [6, Example 4.7].

Example 3.6. There exists a dendroid $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ has $\mathfrak{c}$ -many path-wise connected components.

Proof. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we let $[x, y] = \{ty + (1-t)x : t \in [0, 1]\}$ and $(x, y] = \{ty + (1-t)x : t \in (0, 1]\}$. Set $u = (0, 1)$, $v = (0, 0)$, $w = (0, -1)$ and $x_n = (1/n, 0)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Consider the dendroid

$$X = [u, w] \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} [u, x_n] \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^-} [w, x_n]$$

endowed with the topology inherited of $\mathbb{R}^2$. We remark that the dendroid $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ has at most $\mathfrak{c}$ -many path-wise connected components. For any infinite set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ let us define $S_A = \{v\} \cup \{x_n : n \in A \cup \mathbb{Z}^-\} \in \mathcal{S}_c(X)$.

Claim. If A and B are two almost disjoint subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^+$, then there is not a path between S_A and S_B in $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$.

Proof of the Claim. Assume on the contrary that there is a path $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_c(X)$ such that $f(0) = S_A$ and $f(1) = S_B$. Let $X_n = \bigcup\{(u, x_k] : k \in B \text{ and } k \geq n\}$ if $n \in B$ and let $X_n = \bigcup\{(w, x_k] : k \in \mathbb{Z}^- \text{ and } k \leq n\}$ if $n \in \mathbb{Z}^-$. Consider the nonempty set $C = \{t \in [0, 1] : \exists n \in B \cup \mathbb{Z}^- (f(t) \cap X_n = \emptyset)\}$ and $s := \sup C$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a disjoint family of open subsets of X with diameter less than $1/2$, such that $f(s) \in \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$. In order finish the proof of the Claim, we consider two cases (in both cases we will get a contradiction):

Case 1. $s \notin C$. Note that $0 < s$. Choose $r \in (0, s) \cap C$ such that $[r, s] \in f^{-1}(\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle)$. Then we can find $n_0 \in B \cup \mathbb{Z}^-$ for which $f(r) \cap X_{n_0} = \emptyset$. Without lost of generality, we can suppose that $n_0 \in B$. Since $s \notin C$ it then follows that $f(s) \cap X_{n_0} \neq \emptyset$ for each

$n \in B \cup \mathbb{Z}^-$. As a consequence $x_{f(s)} = v$. Choose $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $v \in U_0$. Since $v \in U_0$ and $f(s) \cap X_n \neq \emptyset$ for each $n \in B$, we can find $k \in B$ such that $k \geq n_0$ and $f(s) \cap U_0 \cap (u, x_k] \neq \emptyset$. Applying Theorem 2.5 from [7], we obtain that the set $K = \bigcup f([r, s])$ is compact. Since $K \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{U}$, by the election of $\mathcal{U}$, the set $K_0 = K \cap U_0 \cap (u, x_k] = K \cap \text{cl}(U_0) \cap [u, x_k]$ is compact. Besides, since $(u, x_k]$ is open in X , the set $V = (u, x_k] \cap U_0$ is an open neighborhood of K_0 in X . Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U} \cup \{V\}$. Consider the nonempty set $D = \{q \in [r, s] : f(q) \cap K_0 \neq \emptyset\}$ and $i := \inf D$. We apply the infimum version of Lemma 3.5 to see that $i \in D$. Then, we have that $r < i \leq s$ and $f(i) \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$. However, for each $r < t < i$ observe that $f(t) \notin \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$, contradicting the continuity of f .

Case 2. $s \in C$. In this case we must have that $s < 1$. Choose $n_0 \in B \cup \mathbb{Z}^-$ such that $f(s) \cap X_{n_0} = \emptyset$. We may suppose, without loss of generality, that $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^-$. Choose $t \in (s, 1)$ such that $[s, t] \in f^{-1}(\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle)$. The condition $t \notin C$ implies that $f(t) \cap X_n \neq \emptyset$ for each $n \in B \cup \mathbb{Z}^-$. As a consequence, we obtain that $x_{f(t)} = v$. Choose $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $v \in U_0$. Since $v \in U_0$ and $f(t) \cap X_n \neq \emptyset$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^-$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}^-$ such that $k \leq n_0$ and $f(t) \cap U_0 \cap (u, x_k] \neq \emptyset$. As above, the sets $K = \bigcup f([s, t])$ and $K_0 = K \cap U_0 \cap (u, x_k] = K \cap \text{cl}(U_0) \cap [u, x_k]$ are compact. Moreover, the set $V = (u, x_k] \cap U_0$ is an open neighborhood of K_0 in X . Consider the family $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U} \cup \{V\}$. Let $D = \{q \in [s, t] : f(q) \cap K_0 \neq \emptyset\}$ and $i := \inf D$. By the infimum version of Lemma 3.5, $i \in D$. Note that $s < i \leq t$ and $f(i) \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$. Finally, for $s < r < i$ we have that $f(r) \notin \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$, which contradicts the continuity of f .

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an almost disjoint family of subsets $\mathbb{Z}^+$ of size $\mathfrak{c}$ (for the existence of such a family see 6Q in [4]). It follows from the Claim that for distinct elements $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ the sequences S_A and S_B belong to distinct path-wise connected components. Therefore, $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ has $\mathfrak{c}$ -many path-wise connected components. $\square$

Example 3.7. The space of non-trivial convergent sequences on the Warsaw circle has $\mathfrak{c}$ -many path-wise connected components.

Proof. The Warsaw circle is the subspace $X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$ of $\mathbb{R}^2$, where $X_1 = \{(a, |\sin(\pi/a)|) : a \in (0, 1]\}$, $X_2 = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (a - 1/2)^2 + b^2 = 1/4 \text{ and } b < 0\}$ and $X_3 = \{(0, b) : b \in [0, 1]\}$. Consider the subspace $D = X \cap (\mathbb{R} \times \{0\})$. For each two points $x, y \in X$ define the natural number $\rho(x, y) = |I \cap D|$ where I is the only arc in X with endpoints x and y . For $x \in X$ and $S \in \mathcal{S}_c(X)$ we let $\rho(x, S) = \min\{\rho(x, y) : y \in S\}$. Now for $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{S}_c(X)$ we define

$$\rho(S_1, S_2) = \max\{\sup\{\rho(x, S_2) : x \in S_1\}, \sup\{\rho(y, S_1) : y \in S_2\}\}.$$

Let $Y = \{S \in \mathcal{S}_c(X) : |S \cap X_1| = \omega \text{ and } x_S \in X_3\}$. Given $S \in Y$ we say that a canonical neighborhood $\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$ of S in $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is a *suitable* neighborhood of S if for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ the closure of each component of $U \cap X_1$ is an arc and contains at most one point of D .

Claim 1. If $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ is a path, $\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$ is a suitable neighborhood of $f(1)$ and $f([0, 1]) \subseteq \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$, then $\rho(f(0), f(1)) < \omega$.

Proof of the Claim. Assume on the contrary that $\rho(f(0), f(1)) = \omega$. Consider the compact space $K = \bigcup f([0, 1])$. In each one of the following cases we are going to get a contradiction, so the Claim 1 will be proved.

Case 1. $\sup\{\rho(x, f(1)) : x \in f(0)\} = \omega$. Fix $x_0 \in f(0) \cap X_1$ such that $\rho(x_0, f(1)) \geq 2$. Choose $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $x_0 \in U$, let U_0 be the connected component of U which contains x_0 and let $K_0 = K \cap U_0$. Note that since the elements of $\mathcal{U}$ are pairwise disjoint and $K \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{U}$, the set K_0 is compact. Let $A = \{a \in [0, 1] : f(a) \cap K_0 \neq \emptyset\}$. By Lemma 3.5, $s := \sup A \in A$. Since $x_0 \in U_0$ and $\text{cl}(U_0)$ is an arc with at most one point of D , it follows from $\rho(x_0, f(1)) \geq 2$ that we must have $f(1) \cap U_0 = \emptyset$. Hence, $s < 1$. Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U} \cup \{U_0\}$. Then $f(s) \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$. By continuity, we may choose $t \in (s, 1)$ such that $f([s, t]) \subseteq \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$. Then $f(t) \cap U_0 \neq \emptyset$ and so $f(t) \cap K_0 = f(t) \cap U_0 \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $t \in A$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $\sup\{\rho(y, f(0)) : y \in f(1)\} = \omega$. Pick $y_0 \in f(1) \cap X_1$ for which $\rho(y_0, f(0)) \geq 2$. Consider $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $y_0 \in U$ and denote by U_0 the connected component of U which contains y_0 . As above $K_0 = K \cap U_0$ is compact. Let us consider the set $A = \{a \in [0, 1] : f(a) \cap K_0 \neq \emptyset\}$. By the infimum version of Lemma 3.5, $i := \inf A \in A$. We know that $y_0 \in U_0$, $\text{cl}(U_0)$ is an arc with at most one point of D , and $\rho(y_0, f(0)) \geq 2$ which imply $f(0) \cap U_0 = \emptyset$. It follows that $i > 0$. Consider the family $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U} \cup \{U_0\}$ and note that $f(i) \in \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$. Select $j \in (0, i)$ such that $f([j, i]) \subseteq \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$. Note that $f(j) \cap U_0 \neq \emptyset$ and so $f(j) \cap K_0 = f(j) \cap U_0 \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $j \in A$, a contradiction.

Claim 2. If $S_0, S_1 \in Y$ and $\rho(S_0, S_1) = \omega$, then there is no a path between S_0 and S_1 in $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$.

Proof of the Claim. Suppose that $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is a path with $f(0) = S_0$ and $f(1) = S_1$. A similar argument to the one given in the proof of [3, Example 2.8] shows that there is no a path between a sequence in Y and a sequence in $\mathcal{S}_c(X) \setminus Y$. So, we must have that $f([0, 1]) \subseteq Y$. Let $A = \{a \in [0, 1] : \rho(f(0), f(a)) < \omega\}$ and $s = \sup A$. Let $\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$ be a suitable neighborhood of $f(s)$. We will prove that $s \notin A$. Suppose that $s \in A$. Then we must have $s < 1$. Choose $t \in (s, 1)$ such that $f([s, t]) \subseteq \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$. Claim 1 implies that $\rho(f(s), f(t)) < \omega$. By our assumption, $\rho(f(0), f(s)) < \omega$. So we must have $\rho(f(0), f(t)) < \omega$ and hence $t \in A$, but this is a contradiction. This shows that $s \notin A$. Then $s > 0$. Choose $r \in (0, s)$ such that $r \in A$ and $f([r, s]) \subseteq \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$. Claim 1 implies that $\rho(f(r), f(s)) < \omega$. Since $\rho(f(0), f(r)) < \omega$, we obtain that $\rho(f(0), f(s)) < \omega$. Hence $s \in A$, a contradiction.

To finish the proof let $\mathcal{A}$ be an almost disjoint family of subsets $\mathbb{N}^+$ of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$. Let $k_n = \sum_{k=1}^n k$ and $a_n = 1/k_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Note that $\rho((a_n, 0), (a_{n+1}, 0)) \geq n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ let $S_A = \{(0, 0), (a_n, 0) : n \in A\}$. Choose $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A \neq B$. By the construction, we have that $\rho(S_A, S_B) = \omega$. It follows from Claim 2 that S_A and S_B belong to distinct path-wise connected components of $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ admits $\mathfrak{c}$ -many path-wise connected components. $\square$

We do not know if the behavior of the number of path-wise connected components is always as in the above examples.

Question 3.8. Let X be a path-wise connected space. Can $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ have a finite or even a countable number of path-wise connected components?

REFERENCES

- [1] A. V. Arhangel'skii and A. M. Al Shumrani, *Jointly partially metrizable spaces*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. **65** (2012), no. 6, 727–732.
- [2] R. Engelking, *General topology*, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, vol. 6, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989, Translated from the Polish by the author.
- [3] S. Garcia-Ferreira and Y. F. Ortiz-Castillo, *The hyperspace of convergent sequences*, to appear in Top. Appl.
- [4] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Grad. Texts in Math. 43, Springer Verlag, 1976.
- [5] V. Gutev, *Selections and hyperspaces*, Recent progress in general topology. III, 535–579, Atlantis Press, Paris, 2014.
- [6] D. Maya, P. Pellicer-Covarrubias and R. Pichardo-Mendoza, *On the hyperspace of convergent sequences*, submitted.
- [7] E. Michael, *Topologies on spaces of subsets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **71** (1951), 152–182.
- [8] J. van Mill, J. Pelant and R. Pol, *Selections that characterize topological completeness*, Fund. Math., **149** (1996), no. 2, 127–141.
- [9] P. Liang-Xue and G. Zhi-Fang, *A note on joint metrizability of spaces on families of subspaces*, Top. Appl., **188** (2015), 1–15.

CENTRO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO, CAMPUS MORELIA, APARTADO POSTAL 61-3, SANTA MARÍA, 58089, MORELIA, MICHOACÁN, MÉXICO.

E-mail address: `sgarcia@matmor.unam.mx`

E-mail address: `satzchen@yahoo.com.mx`